NMR AND STEREOCHEMICAL STUDIES OF NON AROMAT IC HETEROCYCLIC COMPOUNDS -II"

13C AND 31P NMR OF 2-METHOXY-1,3,2-DIOXAPHOSPHORINANES

M. **HAEMERS,~ R. OTTINGER, D. ZIMMERMANN and J. REISSE***

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Faculté des Sciences Appliquées, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 50, avenue F. D. Roosevelt 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

(Recerved UK 10 *February 1973: Acceptedforpublrcation* 11 *May* 1973)

Abstract - ¹³C and ³¹P chemical shift data for eight 2-methoxy-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinanes are reported. Examination of pairs of geometrical Isomers, which differ only m the orientation of the OMe substituent on P^{III}, have shown that both the ³¹P and the ¹³C signals of $C_{4,6}$ atoms appear 3-4 ppm at higher field when the OMe is axial compared with the equatorial isomer. This observation can be associated with the 1-3 syn diaxial interaction between the phosphorus axial substituent and the axial hydrogens on $C_{4,6}$ and should thus constitute, in the future, a supplementary tool for the structural analysis of this kind of compound. Important long range δ effects were observed both on ¹³C and especially on ³¹P chemical shifts. It is suggested that the high field δ_n effects could reflect a direct stereoelectromic interaction between the P atom and the cyclic C-5 atom. This interpretation is supported by a study of the $31P$...¹³C coupling constants and their stereochemical dependence.

As part of our stereochemical studies on phosphorus containing derivatives by NMR spectroscopy,¹⁻³ we have investigated the $31P$ and $13C$ NMR spectra of a series of closely related compounds: the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinanes.

Since the early observation of Katz *et al..4* some examples can be found showing differences of $^{31}P^{III}$ chemical shifts for stereoisomers.⁵ Nevertheless, the origin of these differences (generally of the order of a few ppm) has not yet been elucidated. It must be noted that the semi-empirical or theoretical approaches proposed^{6,7b} to solve the ^{31}P chemical shift problem are inadequate to describe the small differences between chemical shift values. The Van Wazer approach, which attempts to correlate a 1Oppm chemical shift of 31P in phosphites with an angular variation of $0.25^{\circ 8}$ seems, in itself, inappropriate to treat the problem of small chemical shift differences between stereoisomers. When a physical parameter is so sensitive to slight geometrical variations, it seems evident, a *priori*, that no simple rules like additive substituents effects or systematic differences between axial and equatorial phosphites should be observed. However, we have observed such simple rules and there are other examples in the literature.⁹ Moreover, before using the relation proposed by Van Wazer, $\uparrow \Delta \delta =$ $K\Delta\Theta$, to "calculate" $\Delta\Theta$ values of the order of 0.2°, it is necessary to ask: "What is the physical meaning of an angular difference of 0.2" between two compounds?" NMR does but reflect averaged geometries and, in particular, an angular value obtained via the measurement of J or δ is always an average value on all the populated vibrational states. Even in the lowest state, the vibrational zero point energy (or the Heisenberg principle) introduces a probability function for the angular value and thus leads to an uncertainty in Θ . It is possible to give an image of this uncertainty using a semi-classical approach. For a harmonic motion, we can easily calculate the abscissa of the intersection points of the parabola $E = k/2(\Theta - \Theta_0)^2$ with the horizontal line having $1/2$ h ν as ordinate. We can take the two abscissa of the intersection points as a semi-classical measurement of the uncertainty in Θ . Thus, in the case of the O-P-O angle, Θ varies between $\Theta_0 + 3.9^\circ$ and $\Theta_0 - 3.9^\circ$. Obviously, these limits can differ from one compound to another, as can Θ_0 . Having in hand an estimation, even a crude one,# of the uncertainty in $\Theta(\Theta$ being $\Theta_0 \pm \Theta'$, the physical significance of a so-called $\Delta\Theta$ of 0.2° does not appear to be very clear. We must agree that only a $\Delta\Theta_0$ has a physical significance but, to obtain a true $\Delta\Theta_0$ it is not only necessary that the relation between δ and Θ be strictly linear (which seems the case in the Van Wazer theory) but also that the vibrational motion be harmonic. This is certainly not the case for the low frequency O-P-O bending mode (\sim 290 cm⁻¹).¹¹

aPatt I: see Ref 2

^bChargé de Recherches du Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique Beige

tFor some remarks concerning this relation see also ref. *9b.*

[#]In the case of H-C-H, Gutowski has shown that the "classical" limits are in very good agreement with the quantum mechanic value.1°

For all the reasons previously expressed, we have preferred to use an empirical approach in the treatment of our experimental results concerning phosphorus chemical shifts. The empirical approach used in this work is similar to the method applied in 13C spectroscopy by many authors to rationalise, if not always to explain, experimental results and, especially small chemical shift differences between stereoisomers. We must also say that, historically, the problem of 31P chemical shifts was first approached in terms of additive group contributions,12 this concept being favorably and unfavorably criticized.^{9a}

It seems possible to describe the phosphorus electronic structure in phosphites using only 3s and 3p electrons. For carbon, 2s and 2p electrons are needed. We shall try to see if some similarities exist between the NMR behaviour of these two nuclei. Such comparisons between ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{11}B,{}^{13}a$ $13C$ and $15N13b$ and even $13C$ and $31P13c$ NMR spectroscopies have been proposed in the literature but, in this last case, the author concludes that "the major factor affecting 31P and probably 13C chemical shifts appears to be the bond angle changes at the nucleus in question".

EXPERIMENTAL

NMR experiments. All 13C and 31P NMR Spectra were obtained using a Bruker-Spectrospin HFX90 Spectrometer operatmg near 22.63 MHz for 13C and near 36.43 MHz for ³¹P. The field frequency stabilisation was achieved using the proton signal (90 MHz) of tetramethvlsilane as lock for the 31P NMR experiments and the fiuorine signal (84.69 MHz) of hexafluorobenzene (contained m a capillary tube) as lock for the 13C NMR experiments.

Proton spectra were obtained using a Varian A60 Spectrometer.

The 13C spectra were obtained under broad band decoupling conditions of the proton spectra and were accumulated in a T.M.C. 1000 time averaging computer. The samples contained in 10 mm o.d. tubes, were approximately $2 M/1$ chloroform solutions. Chloroform is used as the internal chemical shifts standard.

Neat hquids were used for the $31P$ spectra.* The capillary tube containing the external reference $(H_3PO_4 85\%)$ was centered in the 5 mm o.d. sample tube. The values of s1P chemical shifts were not corrected for differences of volume diamagnetic susceptibilities (y_y) between the sample and the reference. For triethylphosphite for instance, for which $\chi_V = -0.611 \times 10^{-6.14}$ $\chi_V(H_3PC)$ being -0.447×10^{-6} ,^{7c} this correction is of the order of 0.5 ppm. The correction on the observed chemical shift difference between any pair of the phosphites studied should be even smaller and thus, there is no doubt that differences greater than 0.5 ppm are certainly significant.

Synthesis of the 2-mefhoxy- 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinanes. The phosphites 1, 2A, 3, 4A and 5A were obtained, via the corresponding chlorophosplutes, following the method described.¹⁵

The thermodynamically less stable isomers of an A/B pair were prepared by Aksnes' procedure.¹⁶ When special care is taken.¹⁷ this method gives pure 4B and 5B (B $> 95\%$) via NMR) and a SO/20 mixture of 2B to 2A (integration of the Me proton signals).

All the compounds studied are liquids at ordinary temp. They were purified by fractional distillation under reduced pressure and their purity was established on the basis of their proton NMR spectra. The respective b.ps are as follow: **1:** 60-61"/30mm: 2AIB: 59-60"/6Omm: 3: $69^{\circ}/15$ mm; $4A/B$: $75-76^{\circ}/20$ mm; $5A/B$: $67-69^{\circ}/0.25$ mm.

Preparation of the starting 1,3-diols. Propanediol and 2,2-dimethylpropanediol are commercial products. 2 methyl- 1,3-propanediol was obtamed by reduction of diethyl-methylmalonate by LAH.

Meso and racemic forms of 2,4-pentanediol were separated from the commercial mixture through fractional distillation of the corresponding cyclic sulphites followed by hydrolysis of the isolated *meso* and d,l-sulphite isomers.18 trans-2-Hydroxymethylcyclohexanol was synthesised by the Prins reaction on cyclohexene.^{19,20}

RESULTS

31P chemical shifts and 13C chemical shifts of the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane ring C atoms and of the Me and OMe C atoms are given in Table 1 together with literature values when available. \ddagger

The 13C signals of the four remaining carbocyclic C atoms, in compounds **5A/B,** are given below with the respective $31P$... $13C$ coupling constants in parenthesis.

5A: 44.7 ppm (3.2 Hz) ; 50.4 ppm (2.4 Hz) ; 52.6 ppm; 52.7 ppm

5B: 43.8 ppm (2.3 Hz) ; 49.7 ppm (1.5 Hz) ; 52.7 ppm; 52.9 ppm

On the basis of chemical shift and coupling constant values, the signal at lowest field can tentatively be attributed to C_7 and the next one to C_{10} (see Scheme in Table 2).

The signals in the proton decoupled ¹³C spectra were assigned on the basis of relative intensities measurements and off-resonance partial-proton decoupling experiments.

The 5-Me signals in the spectrum of compound 3 were assigned by selective ${}^{13}C-{}^{1}H{}$ decoupling.²¹

DISCUSSION

For all the compounds studied, except 2, it was shown by proton NMR Spectroscopy that the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane cycle has a chair conformation.^{1,2,21-23}

Moreover, there seems to be no doubt that the preferred orientation of the OMe substituent on phosphorus is axial.^{2, 16, 24}

The PMR spectraof stereoisomers **4AlB** or **SAIB**

^{*}The neat hquid spectrum of derivative 2 and the spectrum for an approximately 50% solution in CCI_4 give the same ³¹P chemical shift.

 \ddagger The attribution given by White et al^{5d} to the ³¹P signals of the lsomeric phosphites 4A and 4B is the reverse of that given in this paper.

	Derivative	${}^{13}CH$, O	${}^{13}C_{4,6}$	${}^{13}C_5$	$^{13}CH34,6$	${}^{13}CH_3^5$	31 _P
$\mathbf{1}$	6 $\left\langle \rho - \text{OMe} \right\rangle$ 5	$27 - 7$	$18-3$	48.8			-130.1 $-131(5d)$
2A	$P = OMe$	278	12.7	454		64 1 (eq.)	-123.5
2B	$P\rightarrow OMe$ m	$\mathbf c$	13 2	46.0		62 3 (ax)	-1298
$\mathbf{3}$	P – OMe	277	$8-7$	$44 - 8$		54.7 (eq) 55.0 (ax.)	-122.6 -122.7^{21} -123^{5d}
4A	P OMe	$27 - 8$	$11-5$	34.5	54 7 (eq.)		-127.2 -133^{5d}
4B	$P = OMe$	$29 - 0$	75	36.4	53.9 (eq.)		-131.5 -129^{5d}
5A	$P = OMe$	27.8	13 4 (C ₄) 34 2 4 · 1 (C ₆)				-129.3
5B	P …OMe	$28 - 5$	$102(C_4)$ $12(C_6)$	36 3			-132.0

Table 1. ${}^{13}C^a$ and ${}^{31}P^b$ chemical shifts in 2-methoxy-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinanes

^aIn ppm at *high* field relative to the ¹³C signal of the solvant: CHCI₃. For the purpose of comparison, these values can be converted to the CS_2 scale using the following relation:

$$
\delta_{\rm C} C S_2 = \delta_{\rm C} C H C I_3 + 115.3.
$$

^bIn ppm at low field relative to the ³¹P signal of external $H_3PO_4 - 85%$ ^cThe ¹³C spectra of stereoisomers 2A,B were obtained for an equilibrium mixture (2A/2B = 80/20) and the $\rm ^{13}C$ signal of the methoxy group 2B could not be observed.

show that at the thermodynamical equilibrium, signals, has shown that the free energy difference there is less than 5% of the less stable isomer (i.e. between the two isomers is about 0.9 kcal/mole at $B: OMe$ equatorial²). These stereoisomers differing 32°. This value is very similar to the conforma-essentially in the orientation of the OMe group on tional free energy of a Me group in position 5 in essentially in the orientation of the OMe group on $P₁²$ the conformational free energy difference be-P,² the conformational free energy difference be- 1,3-dioxanes ($\Delta G_{25}^{\circ} = 0.8$ kcal/mole)²⁵ and in tween axial and equatorial P-OMe groups, in 1,3,2- 1,3,2-dioxanthianes-2-oxide ($\Delta G_{20}^{\circ} = 0.7$ kcal/ dioxaphosphorinanes, is thus greater than 1.75 mole).²⁶ These results seem to indicate that 2A and kcal/mole. A value of about 2 kcal/mole has been 2B differ mainly in the configuration at C_5 and that kcal/mole. A value of about 2 kcal/mole has been given previously.'7 2B exists predominantly in a chair conformation

of $BF₃$ in tetrachloride solution, followed by integration of the well separated Me protons NMR Moreover, the proton chemical shifts of the Me

between the two isomers is about 0.9 kcal/mole at 32° . This value is very similar to the conforma-1,3,2-dioxanthianes-2-oxide ($\Delta G_{\text{go}}^{\circ} = 0.7$ kcal/
mole).²⁶ These results seem to indicate that 2A and Equilibration of mixtures of 2A and 2B by traces with axial Me group in position 5 and axial OMe BF₃ in tetrachloride solution, followed by group on phosphorus.

groups in compounds 2A, 2B and 3 are in agreement with a predominant diaxial conformation for 2B as shown below:

 3^{21} : Axial Me at $C_5 \delta^1 H = 1.25$ ppm; equatorial Me at $C_5 \delta^1 H = 0.70$ ppm; 2A: equatorial Me at C_5 δ^1 H = 0.71 ppm; 2B: Me at $C_5 \delta^1$ H = 1.31 ppm.

The derivatives **1** to 5 are adequate to study the relations between stereochemistry and 13C and 31P chemical shift parameters.

Effect of configuration at P^{III} *on* ¹³C and ³¹P *chemical shifts.* Comparison of 13C and 31P chemical shifts between 4A and 4B or 5A and 5B gives the effects associated with axial or equatorial orientation of the OMe substituent on P. These effects are summarized in Table 2.

First, we can observe that an axial OMe has a high field effect from 3 to 4 ppm, on the $C_{4,6}$ ¹³C signals. This effect is analogous to the well known sterically induced diamagnetic γ effect,* which was observed in cyclohexane systems for Me^{27} or OH^{28}

*Throughout this paper, we are using the terms " α , β , γ , δ effect" as defined in the paper of Dalling and Grant²⁷ tSee also Ref 5e and 5h for similar observations in 2-R-S-t-butyl-1,3,2-dtoxaphosphosphorinanes. However, in these cases, a conformational equilibrium for one of the two isomers is present^{5e} or cannot be excluded.^{5h} This situation makes the conclusions somewhat ambiguous especially concerning the 31P chemical shifts owing to the important δ effects discussed in the following section.

substituents and in norbornyl derivatives²⁹ for a fluoro substituent. To our knowledge, these are the first examples where such an effect can be unequivocally attributed to an axial substituent on trivalent phosphorus.?

If we admit, as a crude approximation, that the magnitude of the γ_a effect could be used as a semiquantitative measure of the degree of steric interaction in a given molecule, 29.30 the observation of a diamagnetic γ_a effect in the derivatives studied here is particularly interesting in relation to the preferred axiality of the OMe substituent on P.

Moreover, the ³¹P signal for the axially substituted P atom appears at higher field than for the equatorially substituted isomer, the 31P chemical shift difference between the A/B pair being of the same order as the corresponding γ_a effect (Table 2) observed in ¹³C NMR. The sequence $\delta^{31}P(R_{eq})$ > $\delta^{31}P(R_{ax})$ was also found for *cis* and *trans* 1-methyl- $4-t$ -butyl-4-phosphorinanols^{5b} and seems thus to be quite general.[†]

This situation is similar to that of the high field shift of the 13C signal of an axially substituted C atom in cyclohexane derivatives as compared with the corresponding signal in the equatorially substituted derivatives. $27,28,31$ The difference between the axial and equatorial α shifts on ¹³C chemical shifts can be ascribed to the steric effect of the γ carbons and their hydrogens on the l-carbon, operating backwards through the axial substituent.28

Derivative ${}^{13}CH_3-O$ ${}^{13}C_4$ ${}^{13}C_6$ ${}^{13}C_5$ ${}^{13}CH_3$ ${}^{31}P$ 4B $+4.0$ $+4.0$ -1.9 $+0.8$ $+4.3$ \downarrow -1. OMe **4A** $\bf C_7^{\intercal}$ **5B -0.7** $+3.2$ $+2.9$ -2.1 $+0.9$ $+2.7$ **I** OMe \angle **5A**

Table 2. Variation* (ppm) of ^{13}C and ^{31}P chemical shifts with OMe group orientation

 $*A + sign$ indicates a high field effect ttentative attribution (see text)

This effect could be called the reciprocal of the γ_a equatorial Me groups in 2A and 3 are coupled to effect.

Similarly, the high field shift of the $31P$ signal in derivatives 4A and SA, relative to the signal in the corresponding B isomer, could be attributed to the reciprocal of the γ_a effect associated with the axial methoxy substituent in compounds 4A and SA.

As we said in the introduction, this parallelism between 31P and 13C NMR spectroscopy, which appears also for other substituent effects $3,9,13c$ is not unexpected on a theoretical basis. When we consider a factorization of the screening constant following Saïka and Slichter,³² it is essentially the variation of the paramagnetic contribution to the screening constant which is responsible for the scale of chemical shifts for both ${}^{31}P$ ^{7a} and ${}^{13}C.{}^{33}$ If we assume that the occupation of the d atomic orbitals on phosphorus is negligible in tricoordinated derivatives,^{7b} the expression of $\sigma_{\text{para}}^{\text{AA}}$ for ³¹P takes a similar form as in the case of 13C nucleus.

The 6 effect in 13C and 3'P *NMR spectroscopy.* Comparison of 31P chemical shifts between 1 and 2A shows that the addition of an equatorial Me group in position 5 has a high field effect of $+6.6$ ppm on the ³¹P signal $(\delta_e \text{ effect})$.* On the other hand, the effect of an axial Me at C_5 is negligible as is indicated by the $+0.3$ ppm difference between 1 and 2 B $(\delta_a$ effect).

Long range magnetic effects are generally considered unimportant in $31P$ chemical shift studies.^{7a,b} The large value of the δ_e effect is thus particularly surprising.[†]

Considering the high stereospecificity of the δ (CH₃) effect on ³¹P chemical shift $(\delta$ (CH₃)_e δ (CH₃)_a), we must conclude that the special spatial configuration between the equatorial Me at C_5 and the P atom plays an important role m the mechanism of the shift change.

In this context, it is important to note that the long range $^{4}J_{31p}$ $_{13q}$ coupling constant. in 1,3,2dioxaphosphorinane compounds, is also found to be stereospecific, as was recently observed for analogous P^{IV} derivatives.³⁵ The ¹³C nuclei of the

See note added m proof.

the P atom as indicated below:

On the contrary, the ${}^{31}P_{11}$. ${}^{13}C$ coupling constant for the axial Me group in 3 is unobserved in the ^{13}C spectra.

The high field δ (CH₃)_e chemical shift effect and the stereospecificity of the $\mathfrak{Y}_{31p-13c_{H_2}}$ coupling constant could well have a common origin

It is known that there may be a contribution to the coupling constant which results from direct interaction between the bonds associated with the coupled nuclei (direct contribution).³⁶ This seems to be the case for long range H.. . .H coupling constants when the interacting nuclei are in a W arrangement.³⁷

Increasing overlap between the back lobes of the P and C_5 bonding orbitals, when the Me on C_5 is equatorial, could thus be expected to increase 4 J_{31p 13</sup>CH₃} and, at the same time, it should be an especially attractive explanation of the high field δ_e chemical shift effect on the $31P$ nucleus.[†] A similar argument has already been invoked to explain the unusually large diamagnetic γ_e effect on ¹³C chemical shift in norbomyl systems.2g

As is shown by the difference in 31P chemical shift between 1 and 3, gem dimethyl substitution at C_5 is accompanied by a + 7.5 ppm upfield shift of the 31P NMR signal. This upfield gem substituent effect was also observed by White *et a1.5d* in similar compounds and attributed to a narrowing of the O-P-O angle in response to the decrease in C-C-C angle on alkyl substitution of hydrogens on C-5. The results presented here seem to indicate that this is not the correct explanation since the equatorial Me group of a gem dimethyl could be responsible for nearly the whole observed effect.^{\ddagger}

On the other hand, the variation of both the 13 C chemical shift of carbon-5 and the ${}^{3}J_{31p,0-C}$ -13_{Cs} coupling constant with OMe substituent orientation seems also to indicate a direct interaction between the P atom and the C-5-atom.

In Table 2, we see that the ^{13}C signal of C_5 appears 2 ppm at higher field in the phosphorus equatorially substituted derivatives 4B and 5B compared to 4A or SA.

In cyclohexane²⁷ or dioxane^{30, 38} derivatives the chemical shift variations associated with Me substituents δ to the observed C atom are generally smaller than 1 ppm. The same is true for the difference between the δ_e and δ_a effects. This situation appears quite normal since in 13C NMR, the long range magnetic effects are also considered negligible.³³ The ¹³C OMe substituent parameters in these two series of derivatives are not known but a chemical shift difference of 0.9 ppm is found for the C-4 atom of *cis-* and *trans-4-t-butylcyclo*hexanols.28

^{*}The correspondmg effects for the equatorial substituents 5-Et, 5-1Pr and 5-t-But are respectively of $+5.0$ ppm. $+ 5$ 3 ppm and $+ 5.5$ ppm Similarly in the analogous 2-chloro-5-R-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane derivatives, we have observed high field δ_e effects of + 7 0 ppm (R = Me), $+ 5.2$ ppm (R = Et), $+ 5.5$ ppm (R = 1Pr) and $+ 5.5$ ppm $(R = t-But).$ ³⁴

To gam further information on the origin of the large diamagnetic δ_e effect on ³¹P chemical shift, 5-X-1,3,2dioxaphosphorinanes, where X is an electron withdrawing group, are presently under investigation.

*The corresponding value of \mathfrak{I}_{31p-13} between P and C-5 is given in paren thesis.

It seems thus that in 13 C NMR also, the δ effects are more pronounced in 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane compounds than in the dioxane or cyclohexane series.* Moreover, we observe that the ${}^{3}J_{31\,p,q,C}$ 13 c_{5} coupling constant, which is $4.2 - 4.7$ Hz for an axial P-OMe substituent (4A and SA), increases to $13.5 - 14.0$ Hz when this substituent becomes equatorial (4B and 5B). Such a large variation of ${}^{3}J_{31p}$ 13c with P-OMe orientation is not observed for the labeled C atoms indicated in Table 3. It is interesting to note that the effect of OMe substituent orientation on the chemical shifts of these same C atoms, which is also a δ effect, is similarly smaller (Table 2).

The high field δ (P-OMe)_e effect on C-5¹³C chemical shift and the increase of ${}^{3}J_{31p_0}C_{c}13c_5$ in the equatorially substituted derivatives 4B and 5B compared to 4A and 5A could reflect a more pronounced interaction of the orbitals on C_5 and P in 4B and 5B.4*

Ring substituent effects on 13C *resonances.* The effect of Me groups on the appropriate ¹³C resonances can be used to confirm some of the assignments made. $27,30,38$ In this context, it is worth noting that the low field effect due to Me substitution on C_5 is of the same order for an equatorial Me $(\alpha_e = -3.4$ ppm in 2A) and for an axial Me group $(\alpha_{\rm a} = -2.8$ ppm in 2B) in contrast to what is observed in cyclohexane derivatives.²⁷ In 1,3dioxane derivatives, the α_e and α_s Me substituent parameters on $C-5$ ¹³C chemical shift are also found

*The C_4 signal in the series lithium piperidine, piperrdine, N-methylpipendine and N ,N-dimethylpiperidinium iodide appears over a range of 6.8 ppm,³⁹ the shift from the piperidine to N-methylpiperidine being of 1.8 ppm to higher fields $(1.3$ ppm in Ref. (40)). These anomalous variations were attributed to intramolecular field effects associated with the $N-X$ polar bonds 39 Although such an explanation cannot be rejected in the case studied here, it is actually impossible to check this hypothesis owmg to the lack of the appropnate geometrical and partial electric moments parameters.

tThat the two effects are not exactly the same in the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane derivatives could be due to the presence of the diequatorial conformation m 2B (see text). equal. $t^{30.38}$ This was attributed to a reduction of the steric compression by the 1,3 ring oxygens on an axial C_5 Me as compared with the syn 1,3-axial hydrogens in cyclohexane.³⁸ The same explanation should hold in the case of $1.3.2$ -dioxaphosphorinane derivatives.

Similarly, in the two series of heterocyclic compounds, the lack of a steric effect at C_5 can explain why the 13 C signal of an axial Me on C_5 appears at lower field than the corresponding equatorial Me signal.³⁸ Again, this is the reverse of what is observed in methylcyclohexanes.

CONCLUSIONS

It seems to us that the comparison between substitution effects in 31P NMR spectroscopy on the one hand, and in 13C NMR spectroscopy on the other hand, appears as a powerful approach to the 31P chemical shift problem in relation with stereochemistry. The number of substituent effects (α, β, β) γ effects) studied in ¹³C NMR is important and additivity rules appear useful in various systems, especially from the stereochemical point of view. Similarities or differences observed in this study between substituent effects in 31P NMR and in 13C NMR can be rationalised using simple concepts. Similarities can be considered as normal if we admit that like the C atom, the P atom in phosphites forms bonds using essentially s and p orbitals. Differences of behaviour could also be considered as normal if we take into account the spatial extension of 3s and 3p orbitals relative to 2s and 2p orbitals. Study of the so-called δ effect in ³¹P NMR is in progress in our laboratory.

Acknowledgments-The authors are Indebted to Professor K. Mislow for his helpful comments and to Professor G. Churdoglu for his interest We are grateful to Mr. 0. Fabre for his techmcal assistance. We thank the F R.F.C. (Fonds de la Recherche Fondamentale Collective) for his financial support.

Note added m proof: This work being achieved, Hutchins *et al.* reported δ CH₃)_e effects of $+6.7$ and $+6.1$ ppm on the SIP signal in 2-ethyl- and 2-phenyl-S-methyl-1,3,2 diazaphosphorinanes (*J. Am. chem. Soc.* 94, 9151 (1972)).

The same authors also discuss briefly the δ gemdimethyl effect on P chemical shifts (see text) and conclude that "the overall picture is more complex than it would seem from the suggested explanation". This comment is in agreement wtth our conclustons. They present some preliminary results on spirocompounds m the 1,3,2 dioxaphosphormane series, the second rmg, fixed m position 5, being three- and four-membered. We have also measured the P chemical shifts of the corresponding spirocompounds with five and six membered rings in position 5. For spirophosphites, our $\delta^{31}P$ are - 126.6. -124.1 , -125.6 ppm, the ring fixed in position 5 being respectively three-, four-, five- and stx-membered; for the analogous chlorophosphites, the values are as follows: $-150.1, -148.3, -147.9, -149.7$ ppm (3).

These results will be discussed in a forthcoming paper together with H^1 and ¹³C NMR results.

REFERENCES

- 'J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, J. B. Robert and M. Haemers, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr*, 3496 (1969)
- ²M. Haemers, R. Ottinger, J. Reisse and D. Zimmermann, Tetrahedron Letters 461 (1971)
- ³M. Haemers, Thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles (1972)
- $*$ T. J. Katz, C R Nicholson and C. A. Reilly, *J. Am.* Chem. Soc 88, 3832 (1966)
- 5"H. E Shook, Jr., and L. D. Quin, *Ibid.* 89, 1841 (1967).
- ^bS Chan, H. Goldwhite, H. Keyzer, D. G. Roevsell and
- R. Tang, *Tetrahedron 25, 1097 (1969);*
- *cS.* E Cremer, *Chem* Comm. 616 (1970);
- dD. W. Whtte, R. D. Bertrand, G. K. McEwen and J. G.
- Verkade, J. *Am Chem. Sot. 92,7 125 (1970);*
- e W. G. Bentrude, K. C. Yee, R D. Bertrand and D. M. Grant, *Ibid.* 93, 797 (1971).
- 'A. T. McPhail. P. A. Luhan, S. I. Featherman and L. D. Quin, Ibid. 94, 2126 (1972);
- P D. Z. Denney, G. Y. Chen and D. B. Denney, *Ibid.* 91, 6838 (1969);
- hW. G. Bentrude and H. Wan Tan, *Ibid 94,8222* (1972)
- 6aD. Purdela, *Rev. Roum de Chimie* 10,949 *(1965);*
- bD. Purdela,.! *Magn. Res. 5,23 (1971)*
- '=J. H Letcher and J. R. Van Wazer, *Topics in Phosphorus Chemlstty* (Edtted by M. Grayson et E. J. Griffith) Vol. 5 Chap 2. Interscience (1967); **Zbrd.* chapt 3;
- $^{\circ}$ M. M. Crutchfield, C. H. Dungan and J. R. Van Wazer, *Ibid.* chapt 1
- ⁸V Mark and J R. Van Wazer, *J Org. Chem 32*, 1187 (1967)
- 9aSee S. O. Grim, W. McFarlane and E. F. Davidoff, *Ibid.* 32, 781 (1967) and refs cited:
- ^bG. M. Blackburn, J. S. Cohen and I. Weatherall, *Tetrahedron27,2903 (1971)*
- ¹⁰H. S Gutowsky, V D. Mochel and B. G. Somers, J. *Chem. Phys. 36.1153* (1962)
- ¹¹J. Hilbrand and G. Kaufmann, *Bull. Soc Chim. Fr*, 876 (1970)
¹²J R Van Wazer, C F Callis, N N Shoolery and R.C.
- Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 78, 5715 (1956).
- 13aB F Spielvogel and J. M. Purser, *Ibid.* 93, 4418 (1971);
- bR. L. Ltchter and J. D. Roberts, *Ibid 94,2495* and 4904 (1972);
- 'B. E. Mann,J. *Chem. Sac.* Perkin II, 30 (1972)
- *IdHandbook of Chemistry and Physics,* (Edited by R. C. Weast and S M. Selby). The Chemical Rubber Co, Editton 47, E 108 (1966-67)
- r5M. J. Lucas, F. W. Mitchell, Jr. and C. N Scully,J *Am. Chem Sot 72,5491(1950)*
- *16G.* Asknes, R. Eriksen and K Mellingen, *Acta* Chem. *Scnnd* 21,1028 (1967)
- ¹⁷W. G. Bentrude and J. H. Hargis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 92, 7136(1970)
- '*J. G. Pntchard and R L Vollmer, J. *Org. Chem 28, 1545 (1963)*
- r9A. T Blomquist and J. Wolinsky, J. *Am. Chem Sot. 79, 6025 (1957)*
- zOE. E. Smrssman and R A. Mode, *Ibrd. 79,3447 (1957)*
- zlD. Gagnatre and J. B. Robert, *Bull. Sot.* Chim. *Fr:* 2240 (1967): D. Gaanaire. J. B. Robert and J. Verrier. *Ibid.* 2392 (1968)
- 22K. Bergesen and P Albriktsen, *Acta* Chem. *Stand.* 25, 2257 (1971)
- 23For the 2-chloro analogue of 2A see: K. Bergesen and P. Albriktsen, Ibid. 26, 1680 (1972)
- 24aD. W. White, G. K. McEwen and J. G. Verkade, *Tetrahedron Letters 5369 (1968);*
- bC. Bodkin and P Simpson, *Chem. Comm. 826* (1969); *Ibrd.,J. Chem. Sot.* (B), 1136 (1971):
- ^cM U. Haque, C. N. Caughlan, J. H. Hargis and W. G. Bentrude, *Ibid.* (A), 1786 (1970);
- dJ. Rodgers, D. W. White and J. G Verkade, *Ibid. 77, (1971)*
- 25E L. Ehel and Sr. M. C. Knoeber, J. *Am. Chem. Sot. 88,5347 (1966); Ibid. 90,3444 (1968)*
- ²⁶H. F. van Woerden, H. Cerfontain, C. H. Green and R. J. Reljerkerk, *Tetrahedron Letters 6107 (1968)*
- ²⁷D. K. Dalling et D. M. Grant, J *Am Chem. Soc.* 89, *6612 (1967); Ibid. 94,5318 (1972)*
- *8J. D. Roberts, F. J. Weigert, J I. Kroschwitz and H. J. Reich, *Ibid. 92, 1338 (1970)*
- 29J. B Grutzner, M. Jautelat, J. B. Denze, A. A. Smith and J. D. Roberts, *Ibid.* 92, 7107 (1970)
- ³⁰G. M. Kellie and F. G. Riddell, *J. Chem. Soc.* (B), 1030. (1971)
- 31G. W. Buchanan and J. B Stothers, *Can. J. Chem. 47, 3605 (1969)*
- 32A Saika and C. P. Slichter,J *Chem. Phys. 22,26 (1954)*
- 33J. A. Poule. Mol. *Phvs* 301 (1963)
- ³⁴M. Haemers, R. Ottinger, D. Zimmermann and J. Retsse, unpublished results
- 35A. A. Borisenko, N. M Sergeyev, E. Ye. Nifant'ev and YU A. Ustynyuk, *Chem. C%m. 406 (1972)*
- ³⁶M. Barfield and M Karplus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 91, 1 (1969)
- 37M Bartield, Ibid 93, 1066 (1971)
- %A. J. Jones, E. L. Eliel, D. M. Grant, M. C. Knoeber and W. F. Bailey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 93, 4772 (1971)
- 39M. W. Duch, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utah, 1970. Cited by J. B. Stothers, *Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy* Edrted by A. T. Blomquist and H. Wasserman Organic Chemistry, Vol 24, p. 272 Academic Press, (1972)
- ⁴⁰G Ellis and R. J. Jones, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, 437* (1972).